



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	3337	Name	anthony	Devereux	
Method		Survey				
Dat	е					
		elements of the	full response suc	ch as formatting and	cil's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consu images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to r Policy team: Idfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk	
Sur	vey Respor	nse:				
1.	Do you agree	e with the ove	rall vision that	the Draft Plan sets	out for Epping Forest District?	
	Disagree					
	Please expla	in your choice	in Question 1:			
			reen Belt but 1 fact be resist		ce it, presumably to try and fend off political	
2.	, ,	e with the ove	rall vision that	the Draft Plan sets	s out for Epping Forest District?	
	Disagree					
	•	•	in Question 2:			
		ally as regard posed for Epp		s, 360 new houses	s would have disastrous impact on village life also), too
3.	Do you agree	e with the prop	oosals for deve	lopment around Ha	arlow?	
0.	Agree	o with the prop	ocsuls for deve	ropinient di odna ric		
	•	in vour choice	in Question 3:			
	•	•	ode green belt			

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3337 Name anthony Devereux





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in				
	Epping?				
	(blank)				
	Buckhurst Hill?				

(blank)

Loughton Broadway?

(blank)

Chipping Ongar?

(blank)

Loughton High Road?

(blank)

Waltham Abbey?

(blank)

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

Yes but development of these areas should not be to a bureaucratically conceived plan, as regards size & character of shops, but left to local enterprise and market forces.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Not generally. Only for large towns or settlements where people will not be drawn in from any considerable distance thus stressing tansport and green belt land.

Devereux

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3337 Name anthony





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

(blank)

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

(blank)

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

(blank)

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

(blank)

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

(blank)

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

(blank)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

(blank)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

As regards to Theydon Bois, absolutely not. No scope for any building on these sites as regards Epping, some possibility but the proposals should be scaled back quite drastically in terms of number of houses to be built on each site.

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

(blank)

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

(blank)

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

(blank)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3337

Name anthony

Devereux





Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

(blank)

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

The proposals are wide ranging but not very specific. Hence it is difficult to make specific comments. Infrastructure development should not destroy character of this area, which is a danger.

An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

As regards Theydon Bois there is no Interim sustainability at all. All - round transport chaos and congestion looms.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Parking is a severed problem in Theydon Bois and also in Epping and the plan should say how this will be remedied and should include restoration of central line service through Ongar

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3337 Name anthony Devereux