

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2748 Name Rose Beschizza

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

I am not happy at all with the vision that the draft local plan sets out for EFDC as I do not think it would be for the people who live here, or would live here. No infrastructure or funding to support housing growth.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

We need to use only Browfield land or previously developed Green space land. In lower density of the district you can have a town or a village built or if Harlow has to expand then there should be some housing in Harlow as it has infrastructure already in place.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

I do not agree with developments around Harlow as its on Greenbelt, only previously developed green space should be used.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2748

Name Rose

Beschizza

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

In Nazeing there are too many narrow country lanes unsuitable for HGV lorries. Nazeing has a high density of heavy traffic already damaging our roads.

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

The proposed sites in Nazeing SR0011, SR0300, a,b,c, SR0473 are graded Greenbelt 1-3 There are many derelict Brownfield sites and land in Nazeing which have all been ignored by the EFDC and Nazeing Parish Council. Nazeing does not have or could ever have infrastructure in place to build these new sites, St Leonards Rd in Nazeing suffers badly from flooding. Proposed Developments in St Leonards Rd are on a very dangerous section of the road. with many Heavy HGVs and cars constantly using this main unsuitable road. travelling to and from the local Nursery sites. The additional 135 new homes allocated for the St Leonards Rd sites with add considerably to this already serious problem. The new Sports hall and football pitches are not needed at all in

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

St Leonards Rd as it would add more cars and coaches to our already overused road. we have many Halls in Nazeing (4) capable of being hired and 40 + football pitches all within a five mile radius of Nazeing, in neighbouring Broxbourne Hoddesdon, Harlow and Waltham Abbey we have ample sporting facilities including Nazeing we do not need another sport hall and pitches. We already have in Place another 90 new houses built and to be built which have past planning in the past two years. WE ARE A SMALL VILLAGE NOT A TOWN. Lack of school places, public transport and services.

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

-
7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

The ARAP assessment is not credible. local primary school shows as having vacancy's which that is not true and areas around the proposed developments shown as being uncongested at peak times are also false.

-
8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

The destruction of Greenbelt land in ST Leonards Rd would be unproductive as it is currently used to produce food. There is no justification to build on Grade 1-3 Greenbelt Land instead of using unused brownfield or green space land. Development would have an adverse impact on the landscape character and the nature of the village.

-
9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

There is a strong lack of consultation with residents and the Parish Council regarding the local plan and its original layout, giving many residents the wrong impression of what will happen to their village. The lack of posted questionnaires made it almost impossible for the elderly, which Nazeing has many, to answer the questions to the local plan. Greenbelt should be protected and its rural character saved at all cost especially where brownfield and previously developed Green space sites are readily available. There are several sites in and around Paynes Lane which are much more suitable for development than those proposed in St Leonards Rd. There is even one Brownfield site that has been derelict for over forty years and two other sites in North Street. The only reason I can see why the Nazeing Parish Council have not put forward these unused and derelict sites, is because they have been offered the freehold of the Sports Hall and its facilities in the St Leonards Rd development by the owner/developer of the land. and they have disregarded every other site.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

