



## Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	2608	Name	harry	Richardson	
Me	thod	Survey				
Dat	te					
		elements of the	full response suc	ch as formatting ar	ncil's database of responses to the Draft dimages may not appear accurately. Sho g Policy team: <a href="mailto:ldfconsult@eppingforestdo">ldfconsult@eppingforestdo</a>	uld you wish to review
Su	rvey Respoi	nse:				
1.		e with the ove	erall vision that	the Draft Plan se	ts out for Epping Forest District?	
		_	e in Question 1:			
	schools doc	tors hospitals	roads already	overcrowded		
2.	Do you agree		erall vision that	the Draft Plan se	ts out for Epping Forest District?	
	Please expla	in your choice	e in Question 2:			
	as explained	d in question	1			
3.	Do you agree	e with the pro	posals for deve	lopment around	Harlow?	
	•	in vour choice	e in Question 3:			
	•	•	NEFIT HARLOW	,		

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2608 Name harry Richardson





4.	Do you	agree with	the pro	posed sho	pniqq	area	in
		3			1 1 1 1 3		

Epping?

Yes

**Buckhurst Hill?** 

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

THESE AREAS DO NOT MEAN ANYTHING TO ME

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Strongly agree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

I THINK THAT CREATING MORE EMPLOYMENT WILL BE VERY BENEFICIAL

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2608





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

Yes

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2608 Name harry Richardson





Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?
 Strongly agree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Richardson

Stakeholder ID 2608 Name harry