

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2917 Name Edwin Biber

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

I do not believe it protects enough the Green Belt and environment. The developments will bring in some in some of the area's identified more traffic congestion and local residents in side roads 'rat runs' are not taken into account.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

You are taking too much of the Green Belt and not preserving the character of places. For instance with regard to the developments in Luxborough Lane, there will be traffic congestion at 'rush hour' and school times, and no provision has been made entering at the unction point with Chigwell High Road.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2917

Name Edwin

Biber

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No

Buckhurst Hill?

No

Loughton Broadway?

No

Chipping Ongar?

No

Loughton High Road?

No

Waltham Abbey?

No

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

You need to preserve these shopping centres and parking needs to be reduced and '1 hour' free parking introduced. Further more local bus services would be helpful and more encouragement with local independent shops. Perhaps help with a reduction council tax or grants.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

As long as these site on 'brownfield area'.

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

I live inRedacted...., Chigwell. With regard to the new development at Luxborough Lane and the proposed development at Chigwell Nurseries this will greatly have an effect on traffic congestion. At time's in the morning and evening school runs, I have known Turpins Lane to be at a stand-still. It is also used as a 'rat run' with Love Lane and street running off. If the Chigwell Covenant scheme is also allowed to go ahead, I believe the congestion will be at levels that will make it dangerous, especially with West Hatch school opposite. Traffic backs up some mornings from Woodford Bridge roundabout to Turpins Lane. When this happen, Turpins Lane and associated road become impossible to navigate. Could not a scheme be put in place where if instance the upper and lower parts of Turnpins Lane become a no thought road which would relief congestion in the various streets and stop roads in this area becoming 'rat run'. It will also keep the upper part of Turpins Lane more safer. I am against the development of the covent grounds because of, the loss of green belt, and loss sight lines of the convent which is a listed building. This will detract from the character of what Chigwell is all about. Incidentally there are number of birds that nest in the trees around the site, animal are always in the field's, and would be very sad lost to this community if the old trees were to go.

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
-

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)