

# Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	3154	Name	Kali	SMITH
Method	Survey			
Date		_		

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: <a href="https://docs.org/licenses/lice

## Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

### Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

My objection is to the proposals to build on our open green spaces in Loughton, which I strongly disagree with. I have emailed in my full comments about this.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

#### Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

My comments emailed in on 2/12/2016 give my full answer to this question

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

#### No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

I do not live around Harlow so would be largely unaffected by this.

#### Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3154

SMITH





4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping? No opinion Buckhurst Hill? No opinion Loughton Broadway? Yes Chipping Ongar? No opinion Loughton High Road? Yes Waltham Abbey? No opinion Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

## No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

SMITH





Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area? 6. Epping (Draft Policy P 1): No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Epping: Loughton (Draft Policy P 2) No Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton: Please see my email sent in on 2/12/2016 Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey: Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar: Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill: North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett: Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett: Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois: Roydon (Draft Policy P 9) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon: Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing: Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood: Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Name Kali

SMITH





#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

#### Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

I do not feel the proposal is adequate, or goes far enough. I do not think it will solve existing issues, let alone for an increase in population.

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

#### Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3154 Name Kali SMITH