Stakeholder Reference: Document Reference:

Part A

Making representation as Resident or Member of the General Public

Personal Details Agent's Details (if applicable)

Title Mrs

First Name Jacqueline
Last Name Boudier

Job Title (where relevant)

Organisation (where relevant)

Address ,,,

Post Code

Telephone Number E-mail Address

Part B

REPRESENTATION

To which part of the Pre Submission Epping Forest District Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph:

Policy: P 10 Nazeing

Policies Map:

Site Reference: None of the above

Settlement: Nazeing

Do you consider this part of the Pre Submission Local Plan to be:

Legally compliant: Don't Know

Sound: No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail? Justified, Consistent with national policy

Complies with the duty to co-operate? No

Please give details either of why you consider the Submission Version of the Local Plan is not legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to cooperate; or of why the Submission Version of the Local Plan is legally compliant, is sound or complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

Please use this box to set out your comments.

Three of the four sites are all within one area of Nazeing which will create a large housing estate effect yet the government policy for Green Belt is to prevent the unrestricted sprawl of large built up area and to assist in safe guarding the countryside from encroachment and to preserve the setting.

Duty to co-operate has failed as the planning policy in Nazeing is not consistent - 33 houses are planned for the Perry Hill site which forms part of the conservation area and is Green Belt

. When we queried the letter dated 2012 we received we were informed "it just have changed" -a failure of duty to care as we have and never have been notified The points above will also will be raised with the Government Planning Inspectorate

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Pre Submission Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/ Effective/ Consistent with National Policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The Nazeing submission needs to be amended to be consistent with National Policy as detailed above

If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

No, I do not wish to participate at oral examination

If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Please let us know if you wish to be notified when the Epping Forest District Local Plan is submitted for independent examination

Yes

Signature: Jacqueline Boudier Date: 15/01/2018

DISCLAIMER

This email is for the use of the intended recipients only. Any opinion or advice it contains is that of the sender and does not bind the authority in any way. If you have received it in error, please notify the sender immediately and then delete the message. If you are not the intended recipient you must not use, disclose, copy or distribute this email. We have taken precautions to minimise the risk of transmitting software viruses, but we advise that you carry out your own virus

checks on an attachment to this message. We cannot accept liability for any loss or damage caused by software viruses.

Internet email is not a secure communication medium, and we advise that you observe this lack of security when emailing us.

Epping Forest District Council Postmaster@Eppingforestdc.gov.uk