

# Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	1549	Name	AMANDA	Timms
Method	Survey			
Date		-		

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: <a href="https://docs.org/licenses/lice

# Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

# No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

Whilst I see some benefits there are flaws in many on the plans

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

# Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

210 new homes in Limes Farm and taking over existing green spaces shows a blatant disregard for local people and the quality of their environment,

- 3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?
  - No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

I don't live in/know Harlow, it is not for me to say

## Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





- 4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in... Epping?
  Yes
  Buckhurst Hill?
  No opinion
  Loughton Broadway?
  Yes
  Chipping Ongar?
  No opinion
  Loughton High Road?
  Yes
  Waltham Abbey?
  No opinion
  Please explain your choice in Question 4:
- 5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

# Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Timms





Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area? 6. Epping (Draft Policy P 1): No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Epping: Loughton (Draft Policy P 2) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton: Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey: Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar: Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill: North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

## No

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

In regards to proposed development plans for the Limes Farm area of Chiqwell and have to say I am absolutely horrified that such a limited green area, that is much valued by the community, is being destroyed to make way for 200+ homes I am a lifelong resident of Epping Forest and have lived in ...REDACTED... some 17 years now. I drive through the proposed 'development' area on a daily basis and am aware of how congested the Limes Avenue/Fencepiece Road junction is already. The playing fields are often used on summer evenings and weekends by local youngsters and there really isn't that kind of space for them elsewhere (and nearby). The hill area by the church is also popular, I walk there often myself as there is nowhere else other than round residential streets to walk in the area. I absolutely love the view here - To deny the view to locals would be a crying shame. It is one of the highest points in the area and offers amazing views across London. I would be concerned that any property with these views would ultimately be sublet and further price many local people out. In addition, I feel that EFDC's plan to build more houses is ignorantly overlooking the fact that whilst Limes Farm estate may have less problems than it has in the past, making the area safe and pleasant is an ongoing project, and I understand EFDC's responsibility. Not allowing for improvements here, let alone planning for new amenities and open spaces seems very short-sighted and impractical. With this in mind I sincerely hope that EFDC reconsider this project which seems ill-thought out and shows little consideration for existing residents.

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1549

Name AMANDA Timms





Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan? No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

## Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1549