



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID Method		2439 Survey	Name	Vicky	Read		
Da	te						
		elements of th	e full response suc	h as formatting a	ncil's database of responses to the Draft Local Plard images may not appear accurately. Should you wg Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk		
Su	rvey Respoi	nse:					
1.	Do you agre	e with the ov	erall vision that	the Draft Plan s	ts out for Epping Forest District?		
	Disagree						
	Please explain your choice in Question 1:						
0	Do you own		rowall vision that	the Droft Dlon o	to out for Engine Forget District?		
2.	, ,	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan so	ts out for Epping Forest District?		
	Disagree		! 0				
	•	•	ce in Question 2:				
					to be completely unaffordable for people or ng on green spaces and it still doesn't help t		
3.	Do you agre	e with the pr	oposals for devel	lopment around	Harlow?		
	No opinion						
	Please expla	ain your choid	ce in Question 3:				

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in						
	Epping?						
	No opinion Buckhurst Hill?						
	No opinion						
	Loughton Broadway?						
	No						
	Chipping Ongar?						
	No opinion						
	Loughton High Road?						
	No						
	Waltham Abbey?						
	No opinion						
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:						
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?						
	No opinion						
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:						

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

I don't believe our green spaces will be safe. The new homes still are not affordable for people on regular wages and I don't think our roads, transport and local ammentities would cope with all the extra people.

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chiqwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

- 7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan? Please explain your choice in Question 7:
- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)