

## Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4799 Name Carolyn and Martin green

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: [ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk](mailto:ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk)

### Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

Cannot see that such development would improve quality of life for present or future residents. There seems to be no suggestions on infrastructure or future funding.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

Any loss of Green Belt is irreversible. There are brownfield and derelict areas which might be used.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

There should be no development on Green Belt.

### Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 4799

Name Carolyn and Martin green

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

Buckhurst Hill?

Loughton Broadway?

Chipping Ongar?

Loughton High Road?

Waltham Abbey?

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

**This is outside my area.**

---

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

**Disagree**

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

**Roads in and around Nazeing are unsuitable for heavy traffic. These roads already have more and heavier traffic than they can cope with. Heavy lorries environmental nuisance. Many of those employed in the area come in from outside.**

---

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

**No**

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

**Objection to choice of Green Belt over Brownfield or Derelict land sites. Problems with infrastructure not addressed traffic already too much.**

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

---

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

**Strongly disagree**

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

**Study of infrastructure provision should be completed long before development is approved and funding put in place. Accurate information should be accessed.**

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

- 
8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

There is no need to build on Green Belt land. There is still a need to preserve character, landscape, nature, environment. Farmland still has a vital place today and wildlife should not be seen as disposable.

---

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

**Draft Policy D 1**

Cannot believe promises of improved infrastructure and services when local busses are being stopped, ditch and drainage maintenance has not been carried out for years, local services such as post office are not supported, fly tipping is a continual nuisance.

---