



# Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stak	eholder ID	2136	Name	Paragon	North Weald	Peter Brett Associates LLP
Method		Survey				
Date	<u>,</u>					
		elements of the	full response suc	ch as formatting and	images may not appea	nses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation or accurately. Should you wish to review teppingforestdc.gov.uk
Surv	vey Respo	nse:				
1.	Do you agre <b>Agree</b>	e with the ove	erall vision that e in Question 1:	the Draft Plan set	s out for Epping Fore	est District?
	Agree		erall vision that e in Question 2:	the Draft Plan set	s out for Epping Fore	est District?
:	see attache	d letter and d	locuments			
	No opinion	·	posals for deve	lopment around Ha	arlow?	
-						_

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2136 Name Paragon North Weald





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in						
	Epping?						
	No opinion						
	Buckhurst Hill?						
	No opinion						
	ughton Broadway?						
	No opinion						
	Chipping Ongar?						
	No opinion						
	Loughton High Road?						
	No opinion						
	Waltham Abbey?						
No opinion							
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:						
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?						
	Disagree						
	ease explain your choice in Question 5:						
	see attached letter and documents						

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2136

Name Paragon

North Weald





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

#### No

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

see attached letter and documents - - letter attached; supporting documents exceed file size; and are to be sent separately

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2136 Name Paragon North Weald





Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

http://eppingforest.consultationonline.co.uk/wp-content/uploads/sites/5/gravity\_forms/3-fce9873862dde780a40e3cbe24771a88/2016/12/Final-Letter-to-Epping-DC-121216\_reduced.pdf-Adobe-Acrobat.pdf

o you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?					
No opinion					
Please explain your choice in Question 7:					
An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.					

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2136 Name Paragon North Weald