

Stakeholder Reference:  
Document Reference:

Part A

Making representation as Resident or Member of the General Public

Personal Details		Agent's Details (if applicable)	
Title	Miss		
First Name	Laura		
Last Name	Early		
Job Title (where relevant)			
Organisation (where relevant)			
Address			
Post Code			
Telephone Number			
E-mail Address			

---

## Part B

---

### REPRESENTATION

**To which Main Modification number and/or supporting document of the Local Plan does your representation relate to?**

MM no: 77

Supporting document reference: A. Council's response to Actions outlined in Inspector's post examination hearing advice (Examination document reference number ED98), July 2021 (ED133)

**Do you consider this Main Modification and/or supporting document of the Local Plan to be:**

Legally compliant: No

Sound: No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail? Consistent with national policy

**Please give details of why you consider the Main Modification and/or supporting document is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.**

Approximately 450 means lots of additional residents ref MM77. Originally a vehicular bridge was included in the original plans to ease congestion. This has now been removed. Brook Road already has car traffic problems and not able to deal with more traffic. This will increase the volume of traffic travelling under the Brook Road/Bridge Hill railway bridge. The road leading up to Ivy Chimneys School already causes congestion especially the school run. The layout of the new parking within this road I feel is considered dangerous.

Brook road is a single lane and bendy often cars are parked in the lay-bys this already causes congestion and road rage. South of Epping must have the necessary infrastructure to cope with additional traffic especially to deal with emergencies, delivery waste and disposal. The existing proposals said health care hub is required. The current GPs cannot cover the current population. A new school is required given the sites location is at the bottom of a steep hill and a very narrow road under the railway bridge which is dangerous. Ivy Chimneys School is already at capacity. If South of Epping does not get the necessary infrastructure, this will put additional pressure on oversubscribed doctors, dentists, school places, road congestion and air pollution.

**Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Main Modification and/or supporting document legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/Consistent with national policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

I do not feel that this site for 450 houses has the relevant infrastructure to accommodate residents. Air pollution being an issue and over populated in a small area. I feel this site should be removed from the plan. I do not feel the site is legally justified.

We need more green spaces MM24.

Signature: Laura Early Date:  
21/09/2021