

## Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2877 Name Steven Miller

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: [ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk](mailto:ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk)

### Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

More homes=more people=a poorer quality of life for the people of Epping Forest District. We need fewer people moving to the area - not new homes. The plan does not address the real infrastructure issues - e.g. the Central Line, the M11, the M25. The plan does not protect the District's Green Belt - IT PLANS TO BUILD ON IT!

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

It hasn't considered the alternative of standing up to the government and saying that we do not want large scale developments of new homes in the district.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

### Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2877

Name Steven

Miller

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

---

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

---

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

**The Central Line is already severely overcrowded.**

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

**The Central Line is already severely overcrowded.**

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

**The Central Line is already severely overcrowded.**

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

**The Central Line is already severely overcrowded.**

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

**The Central Line is already severely overcrowded.**

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

**The Central Line is already severely overcrowded. The M11 and M25 are already too busy - the slightest problem (a lorry breaking down for example) causes chaos on all surrounding roads. Already have to wait weeks for a GP appointment. Already have to wait months to get a hospital appointment - and when you do get an appointment the car park is full. The majority of people in the village do not want to see the proposed**

development. The proposal will drastically change the character of the village. The quality of life of the people currently living in the village will be significantly reduced. Green Belt should not be built on.

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

**The Central Line is already severely overcrowded.**

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

- 
7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

**Strongly disagree**

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

**It doesn't plan to increase the capacity and ability to handle problems of the Central Line , the M11 and the M25. If you can't provide satisfactory GP and hospital services to the current residents of the district, how can you seriously expect us to believe you will be able to when there are more homes and more people in the district?**

- 
8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

- 
9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

## Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)