

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2488 Name Kay Mingay

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

This draft plan will need a lot of funding to support the areas to be expanded is this funding being considered. I am not sure this plan looks at the effects it will have on the local residents.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

The Green Belt should not be used and stay protected. Why was The Green Belt introduced isn't this now going against these reasons? Are those reasons not valid anymore. I think that land already developed like Brownfield sites and derelict buildings should be considered and NO Green Belt destroyed

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

This area is Green Belt land and should not be developed

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2488 Name Kay Mingay

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

Do not live in these areas

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Nazeing Village is small and many roads are narrow plans SR 0580 and SR0151 are on a narrow road which is not suitable for expansion. Will controls be put in place to minimise disturbance to local residents? If workers in this development use the local roads this will increase the congestion at Nazeing crossroads which is already a considerable problem each day.

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

These sites are on Green Belt land which I disagree upon. These developments will cause further flooding can the current infrastructure sustain this amount of houses? The one local school will be oversubscribed and the local roads will be unable to cope with the increase of vehicles. This village has one bridge over the River Lee which has a weight restriction and access to all areas of the village use the Nazeing crossroads this area is

often congested this plan will increase this congestion. The local public transport is almost non existent how can the village be considered for expansion when this is so limited.

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Will EFDC guarantee that money will be spent on the infrastructure prior to these developments going ahead? Eg Drainage, sewage and flood prevention put in place, Ditches cleared. Will funding be available to local school to support them with the large increase in numbers? How can the plan show the local roads as uncongested at peak times when I regularly use them and sit in solid traffic to Nazeing lights.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

The plan does not show why Green Belt land should be used instead of Brownfield areas. The impact on the village, roads and wildlife need to be considered more.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

I feel that the problems faced by Nazeing with Flooding, lack of schools, lack of public transport, volume of traffic, access over the River Lee has not been considered enough. I feel that this needs to be reconsidered before the plan goes ahead.
