



# Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	1611	Name	Stuart	SMITH	
Method		Survey				
Dat	te					
		elements of th	ne full response suc	ch as formatting ar	cil's database of responses to the images may not appear accuratel Policy team: Idfconsult@eppingfo	y. Should you wish to review
Su	rvey Respo	nse:				
1.	Do you agre	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan se	s out for Epping Forest District	?
	Please expla	ain your choi	ce in Question 1:			
	It is too ear	ly to make a	coment			
2.	Do you agre	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan se	s out for Epping Forest District	?
	Please expla	ain your choi	ce in Question 2:			
0	Da				aulau 0	
3.	No opinion	e with the pi	roposals for deve	lopment around	arlow?	
	·	•	ce in Question 3:			
	As I don't li	ve there I ca	ın't make a valid	coment		

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)



Waltham Abbey?

No opinion



Epping?
No opinion
Buckhurst Hill?
No
Loughton Broadway?
No opinion
Chipping Ongar?
No opinion
Loughton High Road?
No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

I can only comment on the area where I live and and the proposals for Buckhurst Hill do not make economical sense the cost will far out way the benefit to the local community. Parking is already at a premium in the entire area of the tube station so to take out a large car parking area and replace with residential properties will have a real adverse effect, and to add more properties on the south side of the railway where parking is even worse and the roads reliability is (during peak periods ie school times) at best shocking again will have an adverse affect.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development? No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

#### No

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

## As stated in a previous question

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

# No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

- An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)