

## Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2891 Name Lynda Wyles

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: [ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk](mailto:ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk)

### Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

**Disagree**

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

The plan does not seem to explain how infrastructure, schools ,hospitals social services and doctors will be able to cope with increased numbers

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

**Strongly disagree**

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

I do not think green belt should be used at all it was designated as such for a reason and if it is eroded now what is there to stop it being eroded again in the future and disappearing altogether. Brown field sites should be used . Also by building on land currently used as car parks where are people supposed to park as most roads in urban areas have restrictions already.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

**Strongly disagree**

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Not if it is built on green belt

### Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2891

Name Lynda

Wyles

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

**No opinion**

Buckhurst Hill?

**No opinion**

Loughton Broadway?

**No opinion**

Chipping Ongar?

**No opinion**

Loughton High Road?

**No opinion**

Waltham Abbey?

**No opinion**

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

---

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

**Disagree**

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Nazeing already has a lot of heavy traffic coming from existing sites and the roads are very narrow so this already causes safety issues .the crooked mile is a known black spot and the road is not wide enough for the traffic that uses it currently and the speed limit is too high. More development in hoe Lane would mean even more heavy traffic and the road is a county Lane not designed for heavy lorries.

---

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

**No**

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

3 of the proposed sites are on green belt land this should not be used at all but instead brown field sites should be looked at . The current infrastructure cannot cope with 220 more homes it is already very busy with very heavy lorries . There would be issues with availability of school places and doctors and how will the NHS

cope with all theses extra people . Parking at the local shops would also be an issue. Not enough public transport services either

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

---

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

**Strongly disagree**

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Assessments need to be carried out for the main services especially provision of electricity and Internet. There are already many power cuts in this area and the mobile phone signal is not good . Roads not big enough to cope with significant increase in cars.

---

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

**No adequate justification to build on green belt land Impact on local environment and wildlife will lose village atmosphere**

---

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

**No further comments**

---

## Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)