



# Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	1605	Name	Gillian	Walmsley
Method	Survey	_		
Date				

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: <a href="mailto:ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk">ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk</a>

# Survey Response:

- 1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?
  - Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

Elements of the plan are sound but the proposed loss of so much of our green open space in the area is devastating. This space is what makes this area so attractive to people - it will have a devastating negative impact on the area and over urbanise it, and have a severe impact on the health and well being of the existing residents.

- Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?
  - Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

Locations with "existing settlements"? There are no existing settlements on Jessel Green, Hillyfields open space or Borders Lane fields. There are however many "existing settlements" on the rest of the land in the area - these are our only green spaces left.

- 3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?
  - Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

What open space are you proposing will be left for the already overcrowded Debden area, if you are proposing to build blocks of flats on Borders Lane fields, Westall Road, Jessel Green and have already granted planning

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1605 Name Gillian Walmsley





permission on part of Hillyfields open space? It is very clear to see by looking on a map of Debden that this leaves no public open spaces at all.

Λ	Do you	agree	with	the	proposed	shonning	area	in
4.	DO you	ayıee	VVILII	uie	proposed	SHOPPING	ai ta	111

Epping?

No opinion

**Buckhurst Hill?** 

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

Yes

**Chipping Ongar?** 

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

Yes

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

The development of these areas are welcomed, well thought out, in keeping with the local area and will be good for local residents and employment issues

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

The development of the retail park in Loughton is a good idea and (as long as the shops on offer are good) will encourage people to shop locally rather than travel.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

#### No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Please see attachment.

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1605

Name Gillian

Walmsley





# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

http://eppingforest.consultationonline.co.uk/wp-content/uploads/sites/5/gravity\_forms/3-fce9873862dde780a40e3cbe24771a88/2016/11/The-proposed-development-of-several-of-Loughton-and-Debden.docx

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?
Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Debden and Loughton are already severely over crowded for vehicles. The council has already proposed to knock down all the local garages in the area to build houses on them - even the proposed multi car parks will not help with the flow of traffic in the areas which is already a huge problem in the area.

- An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)