Making representation as Resident or Member of the General Public		
Personal Details		Agent's Details (if applicable)
Title	Ms	
First Name	Kim	
Last Name	Metson	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address		
Post Code		
Telephone Number		

Stakeholder Reference: Document Reference:

Part A

E-mail Address

Part B

REPRESENTATION

To which Main Modification number and/or supporting document of the Local Plan does your representation relate to?

MM no: 82

Supporting document reference: A. Council's response to Actions outlined in Inspector's post examination hearing advice (Examination document reference number ED98), July 2021 (ED133)

Do you consider this Main Modification and/or supporting document of the Local Planto be:

Legally compliant: No

Sound: No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail? Justified

Please give details of why you consider the Main Modification and/or supporting document is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Part B has been amended to state that a minimum of 740 homes are to be built on sites WAL.R1, WAL.R2 and WAL.R3. No reason for this change has been given as there is no reference to theses sites in the third column of the modifications document, so it dose not appear to respond to any point raised by the inspector. This is a substantial increase in the number of homes from the previous drafting and the use of the word minimum implies gives no indication of the actual number of homes planned. Without knowing the number of homes planned on the site, the impact on the local area and amenities (air quality, transport requirements, school and health care requirements) cannot be assessed.

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Main Modification and/or supporting document legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/Consistent with national policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The amendment should be rejected and the maximum number of homes be specified.

Signature: Kim Metson Date:

22/09/2021