



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	3040	Name	maria	Tahsin
Method	Survey	_		
Date				

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

Nazeing is a village and should be kept as such. There is no consideration given to adding facilities for extra residents - e.g. schooling, doctors. With the discontinuation of the local bus routes in January 2017 the traffic will increase and cause more congestion in the local area.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

Once land in released from Green Belt areas there is no returning it back. There must be areas with lower density in epping Forest district that can be used. An alternative to Green Belt is to use Brownfield and derelict land for housing. Harlow has many areas that are not being used for housing that could be expanded into and already has all the facilities in place to accommodate more people.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Strongly agree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

No Green belt land should be allocated for housing no matter whether it is around a village or town.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

I do not want anymore heavy goods traffic through the village. As I live on the main road running through the village I have already seen an increase in this type of traffic over the 30 years we have been here. With poor lighting and the road surface in poor condition this makes driving hazardous for local residents. The proposed sites in Hoe Lane are already causing congestion throughout the village and with no pavement running along both Hoe Lane and Pecks Hill to Hobbs Weir Road we already see workers walking the hedgerows to and from work, which is not acceptable. Once again, with the bus route being discontinued in January 2017 there will be no other option for workers, but to drive or walk to work bringing more traffic and possible accidents into the village.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

There are already many problems with the current infrastructure of Nazeing village. The main roads often flood in heavy rain, poor street lighting and road conditions make road safety a key issue, the school is already oversubscribed, there is no longer a Post Office in the village. More traffic will add to this and there are

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





already 80+ houses approved for construction in the area. EFDC have not considered derelict and brownfield land and instead chosen areas within the Green Belt, which should remain so.

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly agree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

All areas of infrastucture must be considered and funds must be in place to improve those already under pressure from the current population of the village.

An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

The local plan does not show the necessity to build on Primary Green Belt areas and change the whole area of the village. There are other areas that could be considered instead of impacting on the Green Belt areas surrounding the village, which will have a huge impact on the wild life in the area.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

NO IMPACT ON AREAS OF GREEN BELT IN NAZEING.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)