

Stakeholder Reference:
Document Reference:

Part A

Making representation as Resident or Member of the General Public

Personal Details		Agent's Details (if applicable)	
Title	Dr		
First Name	Sara		
Last Name	Day		
Job Title (where relevant)			
Organisation (where relevant)			
Address			
Post Code			
Telephone Number			
E-mail Address			

Part B

REPRESENTATION

To which Main Modification number and/or supporting document of the Local Plan does your representation relate to?

MM no: 28

Supporting document reference:

Do you consider this Main Modification and/or supporting document of the Local Plan to be:

Legally compliant: No

Sound: No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail? Positively prepared

Please give details of why you consider the Main Modification and/or supporting document is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

There is no specification regarding the types of housing that is planned for 450 homes for EPP.R1 and EPP.R2. This has implications for estimation the size of the increased population locally and their requirements re. school and childcare provision

and community, health, leisure, retail, transport and employment facilities.

What proportion will be social housing, 'affordable housing' to be purchased by individuals for their personal accommodation and buy to let. How many accommodations will there be for families of varying sizes, single persons, couples, aged residents and those with disabilities.

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Main Modification and/or supporting document legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/Consistent with national policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

A clear and transparent strategic housing market assessment is required to be presented with a plan of provisions for facilities, amenities and social infrastructure reflecting the needs of South Epping as a whole and the and the projected EPP. R1 and EPP. R2 communities.

REPRESENTATION

To which Main Modification number and/or supporting document of the Local Plan does your representation relate to?

MM no: 78

Supporting document reference:

Do you consider this Main Modification and/or supporting document of the Local Plan to be:

Legally compliant: No

Sound: No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail? Effective, Consistent with national policy

Please give details of why you consider the Main Modification and/or supporting document is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The road access proposed to the EPP.R1 and EPP.R2 sites will cause unacceptable congestion along Bridge Hill, Ivy Chimneys and Brook Road and surrounding roads which would cause danger around Ivy Chimneys School at drop off and pick up times. Introducing an additional access road after the bridge at the bottom of Bridge Hill has potential to be an accident hot spot as it is a narrow area of road with reduced visibility.

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Main Modification and/or supporting document legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/Consistent with national policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

An alternative loop road extending from the top of Ivy Chimneys to a broadened Flux Lane through both EPP. R1 and EPP. R2 sites with a vehicular bridge over the railway line is required.

Signature: Sara Day Date:
20/09/2021