



# Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	3111	Name	Tom	Bendall
Method	Survey	_		
Date		_		

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: <a href="mailto:ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk">ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk</a>

# Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

No mention of alternative parking on the carpark sites, loughton high road already struggles with this issue. Where would I park when using traps hill surgery? I have to wait for a week to get a doctors appointment will local GPs be increased? Will there be an increased train service at loughton to accommodate the increase in population? When the trains aren't working what happens? At the moment it is impossible to get on a bus etc to make alternative arrangements. Will there be something better in place as this will only get worse. From a sustainable/environmental/aesthetic perspective, solar panels are an eye sore, an alternative is solar tiles which look more natural and blend into the roof. In terms of sustainability are the new houses going to be able to sustain themselves, with regards to heating/electric/water? As you are aware the street lights go off around 2am to save money even though this gives the feeling of danger, when walking around at this time of night. Will the houses be built at the right size, ie bedrooms that are doubles, kitchens and lounges of a reasonable size(new builds are far too small), garden of a good size(not what we currently accept as these are far too small, I would suggest at least the footprint of the house and driveway). If the size of the houses are done right, then people won't feel the need to move to get more space. It will mean that you should keep that community feel, rather than people moving every couple of years and therefore never building a community.

Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

Please see above whatever work is done needs to be done correctly. This is important as it is a legacy, once it has been built there is no going back.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3111 Name Tom Bendall

1





4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in	
4. Do you agree with the proposed shapping area in	
4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in	
Epping?	
Yes	
Buckhurst Hill?	
Yes	
Loughton Broadway?	
Yes	
Chipping Ongar?	
Yes	
Loughton High Road?	
Yes	
Waltham Abbey?	
Yes	
Please explain your choice in Question 4:	
Improving any town centre is always welcomed along as there is suitable parking and road improdeal with the traffic.	ovements to
5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?	
No opinion	
Please explain your choice in Question 5:	

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3111 Name Tom Bendall





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

#### No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Please see previous comments: Doctors Parking issues Train issues Type of housing

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3111 Name Tom Bendall





# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

**Agree** 

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

It needs to be the forefront of the development, this should be the driver, if this can't be achieved then this shouldn't go ahead. All of this work should happen before any building work even commences.

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3111 Name Tom Bendall