



# **Epping Forest District Council** Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	3109	Name	Chris	Davis			
Method	Survey						
Date		<del></del>					
	elements of th	e full response suc	ch as formatting ar		bear accurately. Sh	t Local Plan Consultation Could you wish to review Could you wish to review Could you wish to review	
Survey Respon	nse:						

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

I disagree that any area needs the level of expansion that has been detailed in these plans. It would seem that North Weald has been chosen to take the bulk of this expansion, doubling in its size which will destroy the "village" and much of the open space that makes it a pleasure to live in.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

I disagree that we need this amount of growth and strongly disagree that a fair "distribution" is being offered with Epping, Theydon Bois and particularly North Weald bearing the majority of the proposed expansion.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

I am unable to find any reference on EFDC's website to detail "Draft Policy SP3" I cannot find any reference to "west, south and east of Harlow"

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3109 Name Chris Davis





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in
	Epping?
	No
	Buckhurst Hill?
	No
	Loughton Broadway?
	Yes
	Chipping Ongar?
	No
	Loughton High Road?
	Yes
	Waltham Abbey?
	No
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:
	These would seem to have the least impact on the surrounding area
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?
	Strongly disagree
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:
	The plans are unfairly spreading the housing issue and are too vague to fully understand.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3109 Name Chris Davis





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

#### No

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Please see attached my letter which I posted by hand to EFDC as the email address supplied is not functioning.

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3109 Name Chris Davis





# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

## ...Redacted....

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

# Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

The plans are still to vague with notes such as "Over the Plan period it is ANTICIPATED that models of health service delivery in the District will change, with increased emphasis on providing primary care 'hubs' delivering a range of services in the community, including GPs, dentists, optometrists, pharmacists, district nurses, therapists, mental health nurses, health care assistants, palliative care nurses and health visitors." in your documents.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

I have tried to locate this "Interim Sustainability Appraisal" on your web site and in the draft and cannot find any mention of it!

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3109 Name Chris Davis