



# Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	3186	Name	Ron	Saxelby		
Method		Survey					
Dat	te						
		elements of the	ne full response suc	ch as formatting a	uncil's database of responses to the Draft nd images may not appear accurately. Sh ng Policy team: Idfconsult@eppingforesto	ould you wish to review	
Su	rvey Respo	nse:					
1.	Do you agre	e with the o	verall vision that	the Draft Plan	ets out for Epping Forest District?		
	Strongly dis	agree					
	Please explain your choice in Question 1:						
	The existing roads are completely unsuitable to accomodate the increased traffic generated by all these new homes and the quality of life of residents living there will be greatly diminished. The schools and particularly the surgeries are already overstretched and will inevitably suffer accordingly. Parking in Epping is difficult enough now and can only get increasingly so.						
2.	Do you agre Strongly dis		verall vision that	the Draft Plan	ets out for Epping Forest District?		
	Please expla	ain your choi	ce in Question 2:				
	As above.						
3.	Do you agre	e with the p	roposals for deve	lopment arounc	Harlow?		
	Please expla	ain your choi	ce in Question 3:				

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3186 Name Ron Saxelby





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in					
	Epping?					
	No opinion Buckhurst Hill?					
	No opinion					
	Loughton Broadway?					
	No opinion					
	Chipping Ongar?					
	No opinion					
	Loughton High Road?					
	No opinion					
	Waltham Abbey?					
	No opinion					
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:					
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?					
	No opinion					
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:					

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3186





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

#### No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

# Already commented.

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3186 Name Ron Saxelby





# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?
 Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Do not accept the money or ability to deliver is there.

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3186 Name Ron Saxelby