

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1646 Name Scott thompson

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

There is too much development in the loughton area. With no increase in provision in public services and schools. For loughton you are taking away key green/ open areas and will create an over developed housing estate. The residents (the people you serve) do not want all the useable green space to disappear.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

I believe the additional houses should focus in and around the harlow area as this is an area of decline and needs rejuvenating. It also has the space to facilitate the development and would use the local rail services as the central line (underground) is running at full capacity for long periods. The green areas of loughton should not form part of this plan at any stage, now or in the future. I don't think the council fully appreciate the level of anger there is in the local community. We see what your planning decisions have done to the Churchill PB and will never allow you to make decisions like that again.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Strongly agree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

This is the best option for the needed housing in the area.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1646 Name Scott thompson

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

Yes

Buckhurst Hill?

Yes

Loughton Broadway?

Yes

Chipping Ongar?

Yes

Loughton High Road?

Yes

Waltham Abbey?

Yes

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

I agree but why cant we incorporate flats above the new langston road shopping area?

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Too many sites in this small area. Borders lane is the most suitable. Jessel Green is our only grass area available to the community. If this is build upon then the debden area has no grass land left. It is an area I walk through and exercise often. If jessel is build upon it will be an over developed part of the town. This is epping forest, we are not in central London. If you take away our open spaces then what do the next

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

generation have for recreation activities and well being?? DONT DO IT!!! We can all see the mistakes that the council has made with planning permission with the Churchill PH. Please dont make the same mistakes again.

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

Yes

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Must keep the divide between theydon and Loughton.

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

You have made no provision at all. If one area gets the majority of new homes then you have to earmark space for the infrastructure before you build over all the available space. Thats why the large increase in Loughton (jessel green) is unacceptable.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

Grow and develop Harlow and then small scale development in other areas. Not the 195 homes on Jessel Green. That takes away our last open field and turns the area into a massive housing estate. Borders lane is most suitable in the Loughton area as its near to the station and local shops.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?