



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	3139	Name	Dina	Nazargalina				
Method		Survey							
Dat	e								
		elements of the	full response suc	h as formatting a	uncil's database of responses to the Draft Local and images may not appear accurately. Should young Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.u	ou wish to review			
Sui	vey Respor	nse:							
1.	Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District? Strongly disagree								
	Please explain your choice in Question 1:								
	The Green I	Belt should be	e protected fro	m extensive bu	lding which is envisaged by the Draft Loc	al Plan.			
2.	Do you agree		erall vision that	the Draft Plan s	ets out for Epping Forest District?				
	Please expla	in your choice	e in Question 2:						
	The Green I	Belt and in pa	rticular Theydo	on Bois area sh	uld not be affected by any new housing s	cheme.			
	_								
3.	, ,	e with the pro	posals for deve	lopment around	Harlow?				
	No opinion Please expla	in your choice	e in Question 3:						

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3139 Name Dina Nazargalina





The you agree with the proposed shopping area in.	4.	Do you agree with	the proposed	shopping	area ir	٦
---	----	-------------------	--------------	----------	---------	---

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Any new employment development should be encouraged within the cities and town which seek job opportunities and not within the Green Belt area which should be protected from any use.

Nazargalina

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3139 Name Dina





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

There is a lovely countryside around Theydon Bois, which is already suffering from the proximity of the ecologically disastrous M11 and M25. Any additional housing around Theydon Bois will transform this lovely village into an average settlement with no identity or character, which could be found elsewhere in Britain. The destruction of the village's current character is not something envisaged by EFDC's "Vision". The village





already suffers from the shortage of doctors, congestion and crowds of commuters using the Theydon Bois tube station as a link to London.

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

The approach is very generalised and does not take into account the unique character of the village.

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3139 Name Dina Nazargalina