



# Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	2561	Name	Alexander	Davies	
Method	Survey				
Date					
This docume 2016. Some	elements of th	e full response suc	ch as formatting and i	I's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consmages may not appear accurately. Should you wish to olicy team: <a href="mailto:ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk">ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk</a>	sultation review
Survey Respo	nse:				
1. Do you agre	ou agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?				
Disagree					
Please expl	plain your choice in Question 1:				
inadequate	infrastructu		oads and medical fa	banisation of the green belt. The village has acilities to accommodate the proposals and wi	II place
2. Do you agre	ee with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan sets	out for Epping Forest District?	
Strongly di	sagree				
Please expl	ain your choid	ce in Question 2:			
fill sites ha welcome re and part lie there will b	ve been utiliselief for sportes within a Bi	sed and with res ts and leisure fa odiversity Action effect in princip	spect to limes farm cilities. Part of the n Plan Priority Hab ple due to its deve	elt. Chigwell Parish council admit that most be site they state what space that does exist pro Grange Farm site includes a tree preservation itat. At Grange Hill there is acknowledgement lopment in Green Belt. The proposed housing itutes development in Green Belt.	ovides n order that

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Stakeholder ID 2561 Name Alexander Davies

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

No opinion





4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

**Buckhurst Hill?** 

No

Loughton Broadway?

No

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

These proposals would have a detrimental impact upon residential amenities highway safety and inadequate parking. The proposals do nothing to enable successes of existing local businesses provide leisure opportunities, protect the local environment. They will increase pollution, noise and loss of green spaces.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

It is implicit with increased development of existing sites inevitability there will be increased traffic on already congested roads and the necessity for increased parking. At Chigwell the roads are already congested because of parked commuter vehicles. This has increased considerably since parking restrictions and parking costs at Buckhurst Hill.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2561 Name Alexander Davies





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

### No

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

The village has neither the facilities nor infrastructure accommodate the proposals. These can be categorised under: Transport- all of the proposed sites will require a means of transport whether it be from home to school, the village or the station that will require increased parking. The roads are already gridlocked at peak times. It is highly unlikely that anyone will walk to a station from Gravel Lane Rolls Park or Chigwell Row or wait to take a subsidised bus service. This would be of no value to the elderly for shopping as the few shops are convenience stores and one has to travel to Debden, Loughton or Barkingside. There are no health facilities, other than one dentist however there are no doctors for example. Education- both state schools Chigwell Primary and West Hatch are filled to capacity. At present children from Chigwell Row have to commute. Ninety percent attending Chigwell School are driven to and from school. Much of the proposed

Stakeholder ID 2561





housing will be 'affordable' housing attracting younger families with potentially an increase in school children e.g. with increased housing

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

# Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

It is not appropriate or practical and there is no infrastructure proposed to chigwell to alleviate the current problems.

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

## Paragraph 5/ Chapter 124

There is nothing in the proposals to alleviate parking near the shops, adjacent road or station. Or to alleviate the flow of traffic through Chigwell which is currently gridlocked at school opening and closing times by the King William Pub.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2561 Name Alexander Davies