



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	2798	Name	Jeremy	ROOS	
Met	thod	Survey				
Dat	е					
		elements of th	ne full response suc	h as formatting and	il's database of responses to the Dra images may not appear accurately. S Policy team: Idfconsult@eppingfores	Should you wish to review
Sur	vey Respo	nse:				
1.	Do you agre	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan set	out for Epping Forest District?	
	Strongly dis	agree				
	Please expla	ain your choi	ce in Question 1:			
	The draft plant agree w		ing for local peo	ple and has just	ne primary function - build on (Green Belt which I do
2.	Do you agree	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan set	out for Epping Forest District?	
	Please expla	ain your choi	ce in Question 2:			
	There has n	ot been tim	e to consider thi	s.		
3.	Do you agree	e with the pr	oposals for devel	opment around H	rlow?	
	Disagree					
	Please expla	ain your choi	ce in Question 3:			
	Harlow is bi	g enough isr	n't it?			

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2798 Name Jeremy ROOS





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in
	Epping?
	No opinion
	Buckhurst Hill?
	No opinion
	Loughton Broadway?
	No opinion
	Chipping Ongar?
	No opinion
	Loughton High Road?
	Yes
	Waltham Abbey?
	No opinion
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:
	N/A
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?
	No opinion
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Have not had time to consider this 6 weeks consultation is a ridiculously short period to read up all this!

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2798 Name Jeremy ROOS





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

ROOS

No

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2798 Name Jeremy





Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Please see attached 19 page written reply http://eppingforest.consultationonline.co.uk/wp-content/uploads/sites/5/gravity_forms/3-fce9873862dde780a40e3cbe24771a88/2016/12/The-best-final-draft.docx

Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?
 Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Appears to be ignoring its own advice as far as High Ongar is concerned. The Primary school is full and building more houses in High Ongar can only make the situation worse.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

See previous report in \$6

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2798 Name Jeremy ROOS