



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	ikeholder ID	3178	Name	Stephen	Shears		
Method		Survey					
Da	te						
		elements of th	ne full response suc	h as formatting and	il's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan mages may not appear accurately. Should you w Policy team: Idfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk		
Su	rvey Respoi	nse:					
1.	Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?						
	Disagree						
	Please explain your choice in Question 1:						
	Housing plans do not seem to include upgrades to infrastructure (in particular sewerage etc) and local doctors surgeries are already running at full capacity						
2.	No opinion		verall vision that ce in Question 2:	the Draft Plan set	out for Epping Forest District?		
3.	, ,	•	roposals for devel ce in Question 3:	opment around H	rlow?		

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3178 Name Stephen Shears





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in					
	Epping?					
	Yes					
	Buckhurst Hill?					
	Yes					
	Loughton Broadway?					
	Yes					
	Chipping Ongar?					
	Yes					
	Loughton High Road?					
	Yes					
	Waltham Abbey?					
	Yes					
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:					
	Local shopping should be encouraged, however it may be that with the advent of online shopping and out of town centres better use of the empty shops could be found (housing perhaps?)					
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?					
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:					

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3178 Name Stephen Shears





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Building on green belt land could be the thin end of the wedge, Theydon Bois is a small village which would be overwhelmed by the proposed development

Shears

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3178 Name Stephen





Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

- 7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan? Please explain your choice in Question 7:
- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3178 Name Stephen Shears