

Stakeholder Reference:
Document Reference:

Part A

Making representation as Resident for 26 years with council knowledge

Personal Details		Agent's Details (if applicable)
Title	Mr	
First Name	M	
Last Name	Benbow	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)		
AddressRedacted.....	
Post Code		
Telephone NumberRedacted.....	
E-mail AddressRedacted.....	

Part B

REPRESENTATION

To which part of the Pre Submission Epping Forest District Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph: The whole Reg 19 Draft Local Plan Submission document

Policy: None of the above

Policies Map:

Site Reference: None of the above

Settlement: The whole Reg 19 Draft Local Plan Submission document

Do you consider this part of the Pre Submission Local Plan to be:

Legally compliant: Don't Know

Sound: No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail? Effective, Justified

Complies with the duty to co-operate? Don't Know

Please give details either of why you consider the Submission Version of the Local Plan is not legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate; or of why the Submission Version of the Local Plan is legally compliant, is sound or complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. Please use this box to set out your comments.

The attached specific contribution concerns Epping Forest District Council's collated responses from residents in Loughton. It aims to help the Inspector determine if EFDC has given sufficient consideration to the content of 1077 responses submitted by residents who live in Loughton before selecting sites here for development.

EFDC's Reg 19 DLP Submission is deficient and unsound because they have ignored 1077 residents' responses. Evidence is contained in their responses and a spreadsheet.

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Pre Submission Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/ Effective/ Consistent with National Policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The plan could be made sound by the removal of two green spaces defined as LOU.R5 - Jessel Green and LOU.R4 - Lucton's Field.

If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral part of the oral examination

If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Attached DLP Submission Reg 19 Response MB 270118.pdf

I wish to participate at the oral part of the examination because This specific contribution concerns Epping Forest District Council's collated responses from residents in Loughton. It aims to help the Inspector determine if EFDC has given sufficient consideration to the content of 1077 responses submitted by residents who live in Loughton before selecting sites here for development.

The results and conclusion of this work are attached but the working document was an Excel spreadsheet and it will help the Inspector to have an explanation of methods and sight or copy of that working file.

Please let us know if you wish to be notified when the Epping Forest District Local Plan is submitted for independent examination

Yes

Signature: M Benbow Date: 29/01/2018