



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeho	older ID	2614	Name	john	Pluckrose	
Method		Survey				
Date						
		elements of th	ne full response suc	ch as formatting a	uncil's database of responses to the Draft Locand images may not appear accurately. Should ng Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.go	you wish to review
Survey	y Respor	nse:				
1. Do	Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?					
Dis	agree					
Ple	Please explain your choice in Question 1:					
					oosal does not consider the needs of the small town. Other options MUST be cor	
	you agre		verall vision that	the Draft Plan s	ets out for Epping Forest District?	
	• •	•	ce in Question 2:			
disc	creet de	velopment s	hould be undert	aken in North V	Expand Harlow by all means it is a tow leald. The infrastructure will not sustai uilding is being recommended for a sma	n massive
Str	ongly dis	agree	roposals for deve	•	Harlow?	
	•	•			ld not be substantially increased.	

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2614 Name john Pluckrose





4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

The planners and development officers are in the process of ruining the market town of Epping. Too many eateries and type of shops ie dry cleaners. They are approved just to gain revenue and consideration is not be given to a wider range of shops.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

North Weald air field has a few companies that employs a minority of people. EFDC is not suggesting the whole of the airfield should be used for employment. It is very misleading.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2614





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

I purchased a house overlooking fields. If these are built upon then the value of my house will go down. I will need compensation for ruining my view and also that the council tax is reduced. Again, I did not purchase a house to be next to a large housing development.

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2614

Name john

Pluckrose





Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

If additional AFFORDABLE housing is required then look towards building on the outskirts of Harlow to the north of the town. Houses in North Weald are already beyond most peoples affordability and thus only the developers will make money as the houses will NOT be affordable to young families.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

I need more information on this.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)