



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	ikeholder ID	1107	Name	Guy	Richardson		
Method		Survey					
Da	te						
		elements of the	ne full response suc	ch as formatting a	uncil's database of responses to the D nd images may not appear accurately. ng Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingfore	Should you wish to review	
Su	rvey Respo	nse:					
1.	Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?						
	Agree						
	Please explain your choice in Question 1:						
	The needs of	explained at	oove are clearly	correct.			
2.	Do you agre	e with the o	verall vision that	the Draft Plan	ets out for Epping Forest District?		
	Please expla	ain your choi	ce in Question 2:				
3.	Do you agre	e with the p	roposals for deve	lopment around	Harlow?		
	Please expla	ain your choi	ce in Question 3:				

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1107 Name Guy Richardson





4.	Do you agree with the proposed snopping area in
	Epping?
	Buckhurst Hill?
	Loughton Broadway?
	Chipping Ongar?
	Yes
	Loughton High Road?
	Waltham Abbey?
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

SR 0848 - I strongly disagree with the sports centre being demolished. It's used everyday by local people and the primary school and the New Ongar academy. With the addition of new housing the need for it will be in creased and in demand off. To sit the new centre in north weald will increase traffic substantially and prevent

Richardson

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1107 Name Guy





persons without cars from using it. If you then demolish the epping sports centre as well you cause more traffic and prevent more people exercising with a contrary to government policy.

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chiqwell (Draft Policy P 7)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

There are no specific suggestion in the plan - just wish lists.

- An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1107 Name Guy Richardson