



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder	ID 1988	Name	Muriel	Harbott		
Method	Survey					
Date						
	me elements of tl	he full response suc	ch as formatting an	ncil's database of responses to the dimages may not appear accurate good policy team: ldfconsult@epping	ely. Should you wish to review	
Survey Res	sponse:					
1. Do you a	Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?					
Strongly	/ disagree					
Please e	Please explain your choice in Question 1:					
Whilst t		the need to pro	tect the green b	elt, many areas of the green	best ARE IDENTIFIED FOR	
2. Do you a	agree with the o	verall vision that	the Draft Plan se	ts out for Epping Forest Distric	ct?	
Strongly	/ disagree					
Please e	explain your choi	ce in Question 2:				
	ortionate in size			pecific plan for Theydon Bois es a massive precedent for fo		
Agree		roposals for deve	•	larlow?		
Please e	explain your choi	ce in Question 3:				

Development around the main towns in the district is more appropriate, but any loss of green best is a

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1988 Name Muriel Harbott

concern.





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in					
	Epping?					
	No opinion					
	Buckhurst Hill?					
	No opinion					
	Loughton Broadway?					
	No opinion					
	Chipping Ongar?					
	No opinion					
	Loughton High Road?					
	No opinion					
	Waltham Abbey?					
	No opinion					
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:					
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?					
	Strongly disagree					
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:					
	Employment development should be focused on the main towns, and not encroach on the green best.					

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1988 Name Muriel Harbott





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

The proposed sites are in the green belt, and out of proportion with the existing size of the village. The local transport links are already congested, parking is a nightmare, the local surgery is only open at very limited





hours, and the lower lying areas of the village have an existing flood risk that would increase. The proposals are not 'SUSTAINABLE'.

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

I can see no serious mention of infrastructure improvements in Theydon Bois. More houses, more congestion, no additional investment in transport, the school, the surgery, etc.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

Increasing the size of Theydon Bois by a quarter is NOT SUSTAINABLE.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1988 Name Muriel Harbott