



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	1641	Name	Michelle	Worsley
Method	Survey	_		
Date		_		

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

No way could our community have these homes built here our schools r at maximum classroom sizes plus the juniors will go from 7 class to 8 in the sept of 2017 our doctors surgery r full. Are more schools to be built and doctors NO I work with in the children's centre at limes farm and we have already seen an increase of family's with children and would have to start to turn family's away if 230 new homes were to built as 1 of the 3 mail children centres is due to close. Limes farm green area is vital to the residents and more so the children who don't have gardens in the summer that field thrives with children playing. I'm the chair of the PTA at limes school and hold out door events on the green areas and hoping for the next few summers to expand our community life here on limes at present limes farm is a plaesant place to live. We have had our fair share of crime and this will only up our crime status we have actually the lowest crime level on this estate and want to keep it that way.......

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

No yet again u want to build in community's that already live like sardines our children of today should be able to enjoy the areas we already have.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1641 Name Michelle Worsley





3.	Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow? No opinion
	Please explain your choice in Question 3:
4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in
	Epping?
	No
	Buckhurst Hill?
	No
	Loughton Broadway?
	No
	Chipping Ongar?
	Yes
	Loughton High Road?
	No
	Waltham Abbey?
	Yes
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:
	Chipping ongwr and Waltham abbey could improve in them areas
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?
J.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development? No opinion
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:
	Tiease explain your choice in Question 3.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1641

Name Michelle

Worsley





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Traffic all ready in and out of Chigwell is a nightmare ur just going to create hell on the roads it's bad enough pot hole uneven rd surface r not repaired as it is

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1641

Name Michelle

Worsley





Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

That is not true there is no plan to up build new schools around Chigwell or doctors

An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

Leave limes farm alone the filed has good use to the residents ur going to make our young children in to thugs no out door green space causes children to grow up unhappy

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)