

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2040 Name Marion Pluckrose

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

In principle I agree but housing must be affordable for young families and consideration to senior adults who need to downsize. Consideration must be carefully planned around existing housing where house owners have purchased to be in the country and not in the middle of a housing estate.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

The proposed sites in North Weald do not take into account the existing housing and the owners reasons to move to a middle size village. If we had wanted to live in a large village or small town we would not have chosen to purchase a house in North Weald. Increased housing will have an impact on the value of properties. Additionally the current infrastructure will not sustain a large development as proposed.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

See my previous response. Traffic into Harlow and Epping during rush hours is already intolerable and building large developments will make our local roads impossible. Additionally the upkeep of the current roads is poor.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2040

Name Marion

Pluckrose

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

The proposed plan does not identify clearly enough the existing shopping area and new development. Epping is not managing to attract desirable new shops and we have too many eating establishments and charity shops.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

See previous response.

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

As previously mentioned people who move to Nort Weald do so as they want to reside in a village and NOT a small town. Small discreet housing is acceptable but NOT what is being proposed. Also the current infrastructure will not sustain the proposed developments. To propose putting a traveller site right in the middle of the proposed housing development is incomprehensible. Travellers need a site but the should be planned sensibly and not close to existing houses. Travellers, unfortunately create mayhem and are untidy and

housing prices will suffer. From what I understand they prefer to be sited away from the populous as well - it would be far better to place at Latton green with existing traveller sites.

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

-
7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Rather than build in existing villages it would be better to create a new village i.e. To the north of Harlow.

-
8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

Need more information on what this entails before we can comment.

-
9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

All my comments are in previous responses .

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

