



# Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stake	eholder ID	2857	Name	Elizabeth	Judd		
Method		Survey					
Date							
1	This documer 2016. Some	elements of the	full response suc	ch as formatting and i	mages may not app	ponses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation ear accurately. Should you wish to review sult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk	
Surv	vey Respo	nse:					
	Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?						
ľ	No opinion						
F	Please explain your choice in Question 1:						
S	Some parts	are okay, oth	ers have not b	een thought throu	gh.		
2. [	o vou aure	e with the ove	rall vision that	the Draft Plan sets	out for Enning Fo	rest District?	
	Disagree	c with the ove	ian vision that	the brant Flair sets	out for Epping to	rest bistrict.	
	•	nin vour choice	in Question 2:				
7 k r	Γοο many h nave a detr	ouses in areas imental effect	s like the villag on the charac	ge of North Weald ! cter of the village.	Doctors appointr	the last census figures is bound to nents are like gold dust at present, ilities etc needs improvement	
	Do you agre	e with the pro	posals for deve	lopment around Ha	rlow?		
	•	nin your choice	in Question 3:				

Only if areas of greenery are left for the wellbeing of residents, the density of housing is reduced and all the

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2857 Name Elizabeth Judd

infrastructures are in place



Epping?

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...



	Yes
	Buckhurst Hill?
	Yes
	Loughton Broadway?
	No opinion
	Chipping Ongar?
	No opinion
	Loughton High Road?
	Yes
	Waltham Abbey?
	Yes
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:
	More variety of shops is better for the consumerv and means residents do not have to traqvel to Lakeside, Westfield etc.
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?
	Agree
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:
	A wide variety of employment opportunities can only be a good thing especially for the school leavers and graduates.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2857 Name Elizabeth Judd





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

### No

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

The proposed level of development and 50%+ increase in populus is too much for a village to assimilate without major problems.

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2857 Name Elizabeth Judd





Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?
 Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Still not enough

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2857 Name Elizabeth Judd