



# Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	2989	Name	Sally	Trewartha	
Method		Survey				
Da	te					
	This documer 2016. Some	elements of th	ne full response suc	h as formatting a	uncil's database of responses to the Draft Lond images may not appear accurately. Shouling Policy team: <a href="mailto:ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.co">ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.co</a>	ld you wish to review
Su	rvey Respo	nse:				
1.	Do you agre	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan s	ets out for Epping Forest District?	
	Strongly dis	agree				
	Please expla	ain your choi	ce in Question 1:			
	Green SR-03 conserved f	358. While I or the famil	appreciate the r	need for addition to the streets and atte	velopment of Jessel Green SR-0361 a nal housing I feel that these particula nding the schools around them. My ch	r greens should be
2.	Do you agre	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan s	ets out for Epping Forest District?	
	Please expla	ain your choi	ce in Question 2:			
	I do feel tha	at we need t	o make best use	of land which	s already built on before expanding ir	nto green belt.
3.	No opinion	·	roposals for devel	opment around	Harlow?	

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2989 Name Sally Trewartha





4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

**Buckhurst Hill?** 

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

Yes

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

Yes

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

Loughton High Road and Broadway both need to be supported in order to make them attractive destinations. This can only be done with a vibrant mix of retail, entertainment and eating options. Business rates make it very hard for businesses to remain successful, particularly on the High Street. The council could encourage businesses such as gyms and nurseries into the High Street so that people will travel there and therefore create foot fall for the other businesses at the same time.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

#### No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Whilst I very much empathise with the difficulty of the task in hand I feel that highlighting areas such as Jessell Green and Rochford Green are just going for the easy option. Those two greens are integral to the character of the area and are very well used by local residents. The Lucton's Field area I feel is much more

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2989

Name Sally

Trewartha





appropriate for development, residents in homes built there would be able to easily walk to either tube station and would provide much needed footfall for the Broadway shops.

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2989 Name Sally Trewartha





	Agree
	Please explain your choice in Question 7:
8.	An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2989

Name Sally

Trewartha