



## Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stak	ceholder ID	2107	Name	Nariece	Sanderson	
Method		Survey				
Date	Э					
		elements of th	ie full response suc	ch as formatting and	cil's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consulta l images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to rev Policy team: <a href="mailto:ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk">ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk</a>	
Sur	vey Respoi	nse:				
	Do you agre Disagree	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan set	s out for Epping Forest District?	
	Please expla	ain your choid	ce in Question 1:			
	We can't bu	ild on the gr	reenbelt.			
	Do you agre		verall vision that	the Draft Plan set	s out for Epping Forest District?	
		•	ce in Question 2:			
	•	ild on the gr				
3.	Do you agre	e with the pr	oposals for deve	lopment around H	arlow?	
	Strongly dis	-		•		
	Please expla	ain your choid	ce in Question 3:			
	•	•		we can't build or	the green belt.	

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2107 Name Nariece Sanderson





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in					
	Epping?					
	No					
	Buckhurst Hill?					
	No					
	Loughton Broadway?					
	No					
	Chipping Ongar?					
	No					
	Loughton High Road?					
	No					
	Waltham Abbey?					
	No					
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:					
	Rejuvenate Harlow first. We can't build on the green belt.					
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?					
	No opinion					
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:					

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2107 Name Nariece Sanderson





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

We can't build on the green belt.

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

We can't build on the green belt.

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

We can't build on the green belt.

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

We can't build on the green belt.

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

We can't build on the green belt.

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

We can't build on the green belt.

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

We can't build on the green belt.

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

We can't build on the green belt.

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2107

Name Nariece

Sanderson





We can't build on the green belt.

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

We can't build on the green belt. Nazeing is congested enough as it is and construction workers block road entrances inconsiderately for those that live in Nazeing already.

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

We can't build on the green belt.

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

We can't build on the green belt.

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

## No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2107 Name Nariece Sanderson