

# Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	3118	Name	Sue	Dikmen
Method	Survey			
Date		-		

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: <a href="https://www.uditation.com">ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk</a>

# Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

### No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

No opinion overall as have no knowledge of some of the affected areas

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

#### Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

I disagree with the expansion of Sheering. The proposed changes will dramatically change the village. Traffic through the village is already extremely heavy and often much too fast. The local School is full and local residents have trouble obtaining a place meaning they have to travel, further compounding the road problems. The Drs surgery at Sawbridgeworth often has an answerphone message stating that no appointments are available. There is a lack of technology provision to Sheering, broadband is already extremely slow, this will worsen if more users are added to the system. The road systems around Sheering are hazardous and are the only access routes when the B183 is out of action. Cars are often parked on pavements .

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

There are already a number of new developments in Harlow which have blended well with the exisitng town. Road systems have been updated to ensure traffic flows through the town.

Dikmen

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3118	Name	Sue
	indino	040





- 4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in... Epping? Yes Buckhurst Hill? No opinion Loughton Broadway? No opinion Chipping Ongar? No opinion Loughton High Road? No opinion Waltham Abbey? No opinion Please explain your choice in Question 4:
- Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?
  Agree
  Please explain your choice in Question 5:

#### Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Dikmen





Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area? 6. Epping (Draft Policy P 1): No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Epping: Loughton (Draft Policy P 2) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton: Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey: Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar: Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill: North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett: Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett: Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois: Roydon (Draft Policy P 9) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon: Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing: Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood: Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12) No

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Name Sue

Dikmen





Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Reasons previously stated. The local infrastructure in Sheering, roads, schooling, health facilites cannot cope with any further growth

 Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan? Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

## Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Dikmen