

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 67 Name Kim Sharpington

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

We know people need homes but feel that they haven't investigated enough the fact that there are hundreds of brown field sites and disused warehouses/factories, rooms above shops empty, extra floors could be added to low rise blocks that already exist.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

The infrastructure and hospitals/gp's/schools are already oversubscribed and can't cope with any extra pressures this will cause.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

I believe there should be a new town built with all the provisions necessary supplied for that town further away from Harlow, but still have good access to M11/M25.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 67

Name Kim

Sharpington

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No

Buckhurst Hill?

No

Loughton Broadway?

Yes

Chipping Ongar?

No

Loughton High Road?

No

Waltham Abbey?

Yes

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

I believe Loughton Broadway with M11 link & Waltham Abbey with M25 still have the opportunity/access and need for expansion, whereas Epping, Chipping Ongar, Loughton High Road, Buckhurst Hill are already struggling with parking and road congestion which will reduce the air and life quality of the residents in those areas.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

There are already plenty of empty shops & factories, duplicated shop use ie. no more coffee shops needed. If these were better allocated we would probably not need to expand. Plus transport links (trains) are already under strain to allow extra work areas expand. More support should be given to the small industrial areas already provided around the areas.

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

As previously explained, we do not have the infrastructure to allow more traffic, one road in and out of the village. We would need a bypass which would lessen the land availability for housing to enable the housing. We do not have the ability/space to expand the small shopping precinct. There is some opportunity to expand

on the North Weald Airfield which I would agree with as long as access is diverted via M11/A414 (but A414 needs upgrading too)

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

-
7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

The current GP practices & Hospitals already do not have the capacity to expand any more. The local schools are adapting/expanding but that is too cope with the existing residents needs. There should be more emphasis on reuniting the EOR railway to the TFL tube network.

-
8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

Have not had the chance to see this. Not sure that the people you employed would be considered as impartial by residents. I am enquiring with English Heritage & The National Trust on their opinions regarding this Plan.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

I am linked with North Weald Residents Association and North Weald Steering Group and we will be adding our comments/opinions in due course.
