

## Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2528      Name      adam      martin

---

Method      Survey

---

Date

---

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: [ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk](mailto:ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk)

### Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

**Strongly disagree**

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

Because there is not enough room in Epping to expand on housing needs. Also, the infrastructure cannot take further people unless there are serious and major enhancements to the current traffic congestion. People are using short cuts since as centre drive/ivy chimneys to avoid the congestion in Epping high street as it is and the problem with short cuts is people try and make them exactly that (I.e. Quicker so they speed as well). I witness constantly being a resident of **Redacted** yes and it's so dangerous. There is barely any width to the pavement and there is no raised kerb for the majority and some cars have travelled past me almost hitting my shoulder. It's only a matter of time before someone gets seriously injured and worst still, it's likely to be a child because of how busy it gets during school time. Also, why should Epping be the town to give up their green belt, a stipulation that has been in place for years and years. Why can't the land between Thornwood and Harlow be developed? Then for tube users a park and ride service could be developed.

---

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

**Strongly agree**

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

**Alternative sites prior to giving up essential green belt land should be prioritised.**

---

### Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2528      Name      adam      martin

---

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

**Agree**

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

---

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

**No**

Buckhurst Hill?

**No opinion**

Loughton Broadway?

**No opinion**

Chipping Ongar?

**No opinion**

Loughton High Road?

**No opinion**

Waltham Abbey?

**No opinion**

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

---

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

**Agree**

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

---

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

**No**

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Infrastructure can't support it. Shouldnt be giving up green belt land. Not enough schools, I to support the family growth. I have also explained previously my other issues. I think alternative sites and I Action should be looked at first . Epping is not a big town and it would be a shame to turn into a town that's bursting at the seams. I have lived here all of my life and I would hate to see it go that way.

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

**No opinion**

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

---

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

**Disagree**

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

---

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

**What does this study really prove?**

---

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?