



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	ikeholder ID	3163	Name	PETER	McLean		
Method		Survey					
Da	te						
		elements of th	ne full response suc	h as formatting an	ncil's database of responses to the d images may not appear accuratel g Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingfc	y. Should you wish to review	
Su	rvey Respo	nse:					
1.	Do you agre	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan se	ts out for Epping Forest District	?	
	Strongly dis	agree					
	Please explain your choice in Question 1:						
	Absolutely	opposed to b	ouilding houses o	on the green bel	south of brook road		
2.	Do you agre	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan se	ts out for Epping Forest District	?	
	Strongly dis	agree					
	Please expla	ain your choi	ce in Question 2:				
	Absolutely of green belt I		ouilding houses o	on the green bel	south of brook road. We shou	ıldn't release scarce	
3.	Do you agre	e with the pi	oposals for deve	lopment around I	larlow?		
	Strongly dis	agree					
	Please expla	ain your choi	ce in Question 3:				

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in						
	Epping?						
	No opinion						
	Buckhurst Hill?						
	No opinion						
	Loughton Broadway?						
	No opinion						
	Chipping Ongar?						
	No opinion						
	Loughton High Road?						
	No opinion						
	Waltham Abbey?						
	No opinion						
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:						
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?						
	Strongly disagree						
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:						
	Epping is already overcrowded						

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Overcrowding already an issue in Epping. Insufficient schools, GP surgeries and road network

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?
 Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Insufficient plans to meet an already stretched area

- An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)