

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	3361	Name	Toni	Nicholls	Adrian Mitchell
Method	Survey				
Date		-			

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: Idfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

How will accommodation for older people with limited mobility be provided in the centre of communities that they want to remain in, as noted in B housing.

 Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District? (blank)

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

There is not enough provision for housing and in particular for older people who want to remain in their communities but can't find dwellings to down size into that are built to suit people with limited mobility.

Nicholls

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Name Toni





- 4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in... Epping? Yes **Buckhurst Hill?** (blank) Loughton Broadway? (blank) Chipping Ongar? (blank) Loughton High Road? (blank) Waltham Abbey? (blank) Please explain your choice in Question 4: Needed to sustain viability.
- Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development? No opinion Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)



6.



Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area? Epping (Draft Policy P 1): (blank) Please provide reasons for your view on Epping: Loughton (Draft Policy P 2) (blank) Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton: Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3) (blank) Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey: Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4) (blank) Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar: Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5) (blank) Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill: North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6) (blank) Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett: Chiqwell (Draft Policy P 7) (blank) Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett: Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8) (blank) Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois: Roydon (Draft Policy P 9) Yes Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Site SR 0169 is centrally placed in the village, even though partly in designated Green Belt. The site was partly used as a local yard and is currently vacant. Although access will be off the High street between the crusader public house and listed building 32 High Street the development will be so detached for reasons of access that it will have no harmful affect on the conservation area. The intended use will be for single level housing for 55's and over that will allow older people to move out of their family sized houses into a crescent of bungalows. A health centre is also needed for Roydon that will occupy part of the site and will be central for villagers. Site SR 0169 is at the heart of the village and is a natural choice to allow development as it has a

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3361 Name Toni Nicholls





strip of land that will allow a road connection to the HIgh street with footpath. The site is not prominent so will not adversely affect the conservation area now listed buildings there.

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

(blank)

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

(blank)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

(blank)

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

Unless it will allow development of identified sites earlier than the timetable shown within this form it would seem unnecessary

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3361	Name Toni	Nicholls