



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	3146	Name	ian	BAINBRIDGE
Method	Survey			
Date				

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

It is necessary to provide new housing and jobs matched by improved infrastructure whilst protecting the rural nature of much of the area.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

The proposed extensions to Katherines and Sumners will put unacceptable pressure on the existing infrastructure in general and the roads in particular. The Epping Road, Harlow Road and Roydon High Street are already overloaded with the High Street frequently being completely filled with stationary cars in the early evening as a result of the level crossing being closed. The proposed infill at the old Coal yard site is problematic as entrance and egress onto the busy High Street through the very narrow entrance will be very difficult and will cause additional problems with the flow of traffic on the High Street

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Whilst the provision of local centres, community and educational facilities is essential, there is no provision to improve the road infrastructure.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3146 Name ian BAINBRIDGE

1





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in
	Epping?
	No opinion
	Buckhurst Hill?
	No opinion
	Loughton Broadway?
	No opinion
	Chipping Ongar?
	No opinion
	Loughton High Road?
	No opinion
	Waltham Abbey?
	No opinion
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3146 Name ian BAINBRIDGE





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

The Old Coal Yard site impinges on the green belt and sets a precedent for rear infill along the High Street destroying the historic linear nature of the village. Entrance and egress from the site through the narrow

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3146 Name ian BAINBRIDGE





entrance will be a problem particularly during the morning and evening rush hours when the High street is extremely busy.

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

The additional load on the existing road infrastructure is not addressed

- An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3146 Name ian BAINBRIDGE