



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	keholder ID	1513	Name	Penny	Shorthouse	
Met	hod	Survey				
Dat	е					
		elements of the	e full response suc	h as formatting an	ncil's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consulta d images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to revi g Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk	
Sur	vey Respor	nse:				
1.	Do you agree Strongly dis		erall vision that	the Draft Plan se	ts out for Epping Forest District?	
	• •	•	e in Question 1:			
	•	•		the vision to enh	ance the quality of life for the people of Epping For	est
2.	Do you agree	e with the ove	erall vision that	the Draft Plan se	ts out for Epping Forest District?	
	Strongly dis	agree				
	Please expla	in your choic	e in Question 2:			
					hich do not have the infrastructure to support a gre le living and working in the area	at
3.	Do you agree	e with the pro	pposals for deve	lopment around I	Harlow?	
	Please expla	in your choic	e in Question 3:			

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1513 Name Penny Shorthouse





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in						
	Epping?						
	No						
	Buckhurst Hill?						
	No						
	Loughton Broadway?						
	Yes						
	Chipping Ongar?						
	Yes						
	Loughton High Road?						
	No						
	Waltham Abbey?						
	No opinion						
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:						
_	Decree with the grown and for a construction of the state						
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?						
	No opinion						
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:						

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1513

Name Penny

Shorthouse





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

The proposed site in Theydon Bois is an inappropriate development on a site within the green belt and it does not have the infrastructure to support any more people living in the vicinity.

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1513 Name Penny Shorthouse





Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

- 7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?
 - Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

There is no infrastructure provision being proposed for the site in Theydon Bois

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Shorthouse

Stakeholder ID 1513 Name Penny