

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2119 Name Tim Mortimer

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

I strongly believe in the need for new housing and infrastructure to support the growing population and housing demands of the UK.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

Whilst, I'm desperate for more housing to be built, I believe that the plan has been constructed in such a way as to unnecessarily aggravate and disturb the lives of current residents. As a citizen of **...Redacted...**, North Weald, I would find it such a shame if houses were built right the way up to our ditch line. I believe that this sentiment will be shared by many fellow residents across my side of the village. When there's so much space available behind our road, what's the point in cramming so many houses in? If a stretch of green belt was left behind our properties, similar to that proposed space to be left at the back of a small stretch of Oak Piece, local opposition would be so much lower and the impact on our current situation would be so much less, whilst still addressing the housing needs.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2119 Name Tim Mortimer

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

I certainly agree with the need for a greater provision of housing, and accompanying infrastructure, around Harlow. I just want to be absolutely sure that the development is being carried out in a responsible way, with the minimum impact on current residents. There's definitely room for more infrastructure, but doing it at the cost of current residents will just cause division and reduce cohesion in the local communities.

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

My view has already been explained.

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

I see no need whatsoever for the housing developments to be carried out so closely to the current community, particularly when there is an abundance of available space for miles around the village. If even a 30m stretch of green belt was left between the developments and the current settlements, the impact on the local community would be so much lower. I'm all up for new housing, but I also feel as if it should be done in the most responsible way. Everyone's quality of life would be so much better if a stretch of green belt was left to separate the current village from the new settlements. The space is there, so why not use it wisely?

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

I strongly believe in the need for new housing, and obviously this will need to be accompanied by relevant infrastructure. If done correctly, new housing should have a positive effect on the local infrastructure demand of existing residents.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
-

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?