

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2642 Name Glynis Shiell

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

But, feel the plan has been put together on by desk top planners without taking the true identity and future vision of the District into consideration.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

But Waltham Abbey cannot have another large scale housing estate within the Town. Again a desktop analysis, plot of land - lots of houses! Who would want to live on an enormous estate!

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Strongly agree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Harlow is happy to develop to the West, South and East - and is able to provide the infrastructure to support the planned developments.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2642 Name Glynis Shiell

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

Yes

Buckhurst Hill?

Yes

Loughton Broadway?

Yes

Chipping Ongar?

Yes

Loughton High Road?

Yes

Waltham Abbey?

No

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

Waltham Abbey is the second largest town in the District, and should maintain the status of a Town with its Town Centre and not be down graded to a Small District Centre.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

No opinion, I do not have any information available to justify giving an opinion.

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

The Waltham Abbey Fire Station should not be regarded as a viable housing site. Hill House development will enhance the town. Derby Drive development is another example of desk top planning, without knowing the historical properties within the Waltham Abbey Church perimeters. Community Centre Saxon Way - This site

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

needs replacing - but, having a Community Centre underneath residential properties will limit the commercial usage of the new premises, to daytime usage only. Waltham Abbey Swimming Pool - suitable site but will need to be free of covenant restrictions. SR 0099 Site far exceeds what the Town Council would accept, this developments needs at least 50-60% reduction. This would then be suitable. The site not currently allocated but put forward in the Local Plan workshops for housing is south of Beechfield Walk between EMP 0021 and SR - 0061b.

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Cannot have an opinion without first having sight of the any analysis which as far as we know has not yet been undertaken.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

This detailed work has not yet been made public.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

It has been of great concern that no provision to have a policy for ongoing care and protection of cemetery sites and the ongoing provision of allotment sites.
