

## Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2034 Name Michael Dewar

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: [ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk](mailto:ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk)

### Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

Nobody could disagree with the above statement about the overall vision. However the plans I have seen show no material improvements in any infrastructure whatsoever making serious consideration worthless.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

I can't see anywhere where basic infrastructure improvements from the already totally inadequate services have been dealt with in these plans.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

As before. Anyone can prepare a plan for more houses. Planning for appropriate infrastructure is the hard part!

### Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2034

Name Michael

Dewar

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

Until the high street in Ongar is repaired properly and dealt with for once and for all, there is no point whatsoever in considering expansion. Too many large lorries and trucks pile through an already totally inadequate high street especially when there are problems with the M25 and M11. A bypass would help with your planning.

---

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Where are the plans for appropriate infrastructure improvements needed to support new jobs, factories and offices. where are the plans for new roads, rail and bus services? Where are plans for new health services ( doctor's waiting times in Ongar are already dire)?

---

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

**No**

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

**All of my objections are based on the complete absence of any meaningful infrastructure improvements necessary to implement even the most rudimentary plans. These are without doubt rudimentary plans.**

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

## Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

---

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

**Disagree**

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

The Council's draft policies regarding infrastructure in Chipping Ongar are completely inadequate

---

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?