



## Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	2437	Name	Michael	BARTON	
Method	Survey				
Date					
	e elements of th	ne full response suc	ch as formatting and	cil's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan images may not appear accurately. Should you wi Policy team: <a href="mailto:ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk">ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk</a>	
Survey Respo	onse:				
1. Do you agr	ee with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan se	s out for Epping Forest District?	
Strongly d	isagree				
Please exp	lain your choi	ce in Question 1:			
everyone now it loo	going to park, ks like you ar	I moved from one going to over property	central London to copulate Loughto	they going to be social housing, also where Loughton get away from heavily populated and surrounding areas with this plan, evenore housing for Loughton,	area's and
Strongly d	isagree	verall vision that ce in Question 2:		s out for Epping Forest District?	
·	-		are these people	coming from	
Strongly d	isagree	oposals for deve	lopment around F	arlow?	
·	•		hese people com	ing from	

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2437 Name Michael BARTON





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in
	Epping?
	No
	Buckhurst Hill?
	No
	Loughton Broadway?
	No
	Chipping Ongar?
	No
	Loughton High Road?
	No
	Waltham Abbey?
	Yes
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:
	We have enough shop already
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?
	Disagree
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:
	Leave it as it is

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

BARTON

Stakeholder ID 2437 Name Michael





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Leave epping as it is

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Leave Loughton as it is

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2437 Name Michael BARTON





Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

## No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

No comments

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

Overpopulation of these area's will be disastrous for them all, I Totally disagree with these plans

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2437 Name Michael BARTON