



# Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stake	eholder ID	3037	Name	wendy	Murphy	
Method		Survey				
Date						
		elements of the ful	I response su	ch as formatting an		to the Draft Local Plan Consultation urately. Should you wish to review pingforestdc.gov.uk
Surv	ey Respor	nse:				
1. [	Oo you agree	e with the overal	I vision that	the Draft Plan se	ts out for Epping Forest Di	strict?
S	Strongly dis	agree				
F	Please explain your choice in Question 1:					
	There is no	info on what pla	ans you have	e to improve any	facilities in Nazeing.	
	Do you agree Disagree	e with the overal	I vision that	the Draft Plan se	ts out for Epping Forest Di	strict?
	•	in your choice in	Ouestion 2:			
I t	do no wan o release n	t to see the Gree	en Belt rele en Belt Naze	ased for Housing	because it will go on &l know things change but i	on, the the council will want never for the better for
	Oo you agree		sals for deve	elopment around I	Harlow?	
	• •	in your choice in	Question 3:			
	•	Belt around Harl				

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3037 Name wendy Murphy





4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in... Epping?

No opinion

**Buckhurst Hill?** 

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

I used to live in Waltham Abbey, it was ruined money years ago, which is why I moved to Nazeing.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

We do not need any increase in heavy goods lorries through Nazeing, I am glad that I don't live in North Street.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Murphy

Stakeholder ID 3037 Name wendy





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

### No

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

What about Brownfield sites. Nazeing has always had a lot of flooding in the past, along Middle St. It took years to deal with flooding at the Crossroads. Maintenance to services in Nazeing is very poor, sewers etc.

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3037 Name wendy Murphy





Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

# Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

I would like to know about all the new infrastructure you intend to do, & where the money is coming from, there is enough cutting back on services & the Rates are dear enough.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

The character of Nazeing has already changed a lot, we do not want the landscaped changed.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Nazeing does not need further development, the traffic through Nazeing is terrible most of the day now, we certainly don't need any more, there is already new houses going on the old garage, which is preferable to the eyesore we have looked at for years.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3037 Name wendy Murphy