

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1379 Name Ann Furniss

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly agree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

We cannot over estimate the huge importance of Epping Forest to an area. In terms of scenic beauty - open spaces. Provision of leisure facilities, riding, walking, cycling. Well done corporation of London for it's upkeep etc.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly agree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Strongly agree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1379 Name Ann Furniss

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

Yes

Buckhurst Hill?

Loughton Broadway?

Chipping Ongar?

Loughton High Road?

Waltham Abbey?

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Strongly agree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

Yes

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Epping - Bury Lane, use of land between former Creeds farm - cemetery would cause no problems re: any previous development but thought would have to be given to additional traffic using mini roundabout at High Rd. Sports facilities. Bowls. Cricket. Tennis. an alternative site for their activities would need to be provided.

For use of Epping residents of all ages. Use of part of St Margaret's hospital site seems unfeasible as long as current services currently provided. X Rays, Ultra Sound, *illegible* testes etc. Can be maintained? Extended?

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Provision of having an airfield seems very practical though North Weald short already on community resources.

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

Yes

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Bridge rd - Stewards Green Rd area, ivy chimneys, *illegible* road between Epping. Cooperale often blocked with packed vehicles. Parking very difficult. Cooperale if unfortunate if Primary School playground allotments have to be used. Really important community resource. Use of *illegible* Hill Farm area seems very feasible.

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

Yes

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Station area very inappropriate. Desperate shortage of parking access to station v difficult. I think sites identified are Happy Glow nursery, *illegible* development here would cause no disruption to any currently existing housing provisions. I think use of this site would be positive.

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly agree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Will be very important to control. *illegible* Epping High St already very gridlocked. Desperate need for more G.P. services throughout area. St Margaret's hospital should be enabled to offer more services in view of intense pressure on Harlow Hospital already under special measures. Social Services will need extra facilities to cope with increasing need for adult social care. (ageing population)

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
-

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

When St John's rd development finally goes ahead, important to integrate this with wider plan to Epping area. I understand this could provide further affordable housing units.
