

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3046 Name Keith Ward

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly agree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

There is no plans at present for restructure ie Doctors Dentist's Hospital (all already under great pressure). The local roads are very busy and in poor repair and the area is poorly served by busses. North Weald has now flood relief in place, however with much of the surrounding fields being developed, this would increase the water runoff and therefore increase the possibility of the existing flood relief being inadequate

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly agree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

North Weald appears to have a greater number of planned houses, against the 2011 census North Weald is increasing properties by 35% Epping 13% Loughton 15% Thornwood 13%

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Strongly agree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

In North Weald the planned development is on Grade 2 agricultural Land (which is considered flexible, productive efficient agricultural land) while there local brown field site, the Old BT land. However i am told that this option was dismissed by the council but I cannot find a reason, could it be that this land would be to expensive to purchase and develop.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 3046 Name Keith Ward

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

See previous points

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly agree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

When the display was at North Weald there was no Infrastructure shown and the council speakers present said that the Infrastructure would be planned later. The Infrastructure should be in the plans now and not has an after thought.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

20/25 years ago BT offered North Weald various developments its old radio station, the largest was a large number of houses, also Infrastructure including a bypass to the village, but the council has not at this stage offered any in its plans.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)